

Developing necrotizing enterocolitis: retrospective analysis of 1428 preterm infants at a level-III neonatal intensive care unit over a four years period

Ass. Prof. Hakan Ongun, M.D.^a, Selim Demirezen, M.D.^b and Ass. Prof. Meltem Demir, M.D.^c

ABSTRACT

Aim. To investigate NEC frequency in premature infants and assess risk factors associated with disease-onset and progression to intestinal perforation.

Methods. Retrospective cohort in preterm neonates hospitalized between 2015 and 2018. Perinatal characteristics, clinical features, nutritional data and laboratory outcome were analyzed using SPSS-23 statistical package. Logistic regression was performed to analyze associated risk factors.

Results. In 1428 neonates, the rate of developing NEC was 18.28 %. Conception with assisted reproductive technology, cesarean section and postnatal-steroids were associated with NEC (OR: 4.056, 95 % CI: 2.810-5.854, OR: 1.961, 95 % CI: 1.321-2.910, OR: 6.422; 95 % CI: 4.327-9.530). Timing of first enteral feeding was associated to developing NEC, but not to intestinal perforation ($p < 0.001$, $p = 0.604$). Forty-seven of 261 NEC patients (18 %) have developed intestinal perforation. Antenatal steroids showed to reduce severe consequences ($p = 0.001$). Timing of first enteral feeding and hemodynamically significant PDA were predisposing factors for NEC and low 5-minutes Apgar score for intestinal perforation. (OR: 6.515; 95 % CI: 5.011-8.470; OR: 4.715; 95 % CI: 2.717-8.183; OR: 2.748; 95 % CI: 1.100-6.866). Mortality was 9 %. Developing NEC increased risk of mortality by 2.192 times (95 % CI: 1.469-3.271); in intestinal perforation, mortality risk increased to 11.527 (95 % CI: 6.293-21.115).

Conclusion. NEC frequency was 18.28 %. Intestinal perforation occurred in 18 % of NEC patients. PDA and delay in first enteral nutrition were predisposing factors for acquiring NEC and low 5-minutes Apgar scores for intestinal perforation.

Key words: necrotizing enterocolitis, nutrition, premature infant, intestinal perforation, Apgar score.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5546/aap.2020.eng.405>

To cite: Ongun H, Demirezen S, Demir M. Developing necrotizing enterocolitis: retrospective analysis of 1428 preterm infants at a level-III neonatal intensive care unit over a four years period. *Arch Argent Pediatr* 2020;118(6):405-410.

- Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics.
- Department of Pediatric Surgery.
- Department of Medical Biochemistry. Istinye University Faculty of Medicine, Medical Park Hospital, Antalya, Turkey.

E-mail address:
Hakan Ongun, M.D.:
hongun88@hotmail.com

Funding:
There is no specific funding related to this research. The editorial support of this article has been conducted by QA Executive Consultancy Ozan Batigun MD, MBA in 2020.

Conflict of interest:
None.

Received: 2-21-2020
Accepted: 6-3-2020

INTRODUCTION

A healthy enteral nutrition is imperative in the management of premature infants to avoid complications associated with vascular access, sepsis, and adverse effects of parenteral nutrition.^{1,2} Any delays to achieve full nutrition may result in intestinal dysfunction.³ However, to establish full enteral feeding in a premature infant is not as easy as expected; it may take one to two weeks depending upon the infant's health status.⁴ The gut immaturity and prematurity-related co-morbidities contribute to development of feeding problems and intestinal complications including necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC).

NEC is one of the most destructive diseases occurring in preterm infants.³⁻⁶ The prevalence is 1 to 3 ‰ live births and accounts for 7.7 % of total admissions to neonatal intensive care units (NICU).⁵ The incidence increases with earlier gestational age (GA) and lower birthweight.^{6,7}

The pathogenesis remains to be multifactorial with different disease processes and several endotypes.^{3,6} Intestinal immaturity, impaired mucosal defense, microbial dysbiosis, circulatory instability of the gastrointestinal tract, are considered to play a role in the pathologic mechanism.³ Clinical features are abdominal distension, bilious emesis, gastric residue, bloody stool and abnormal physiological parameters such as apnea, bradycardia, hemodynamic alterations and temperature instability.^{3,8}

Mortality is estimated between 10 % and 40 %; but intestinal perforation and secondary

complications (severe infections, strictures, stoma complications and short bowel syndrome) contribute to increased mortality as high as 76 %.^{3,9,10} Despite the greater survival of very low birth weight (VLBW) neonates, very little progress has been made in the management of NEC patients.¹ These consequences lead to extreme caution in these infants who are at risk for developing intestinal problems. Identifying NEC at an early onset is crucial, because once it starts, the pathological process is aggressive and difficult to stop. The objective was to investigate NEC frequency in a preterm population from a highly-referral, tertiary NICU serving to considerably populated territory around Mediterranean region and assess risk factors associated with disease onset and progression to intestinal perforation.


POPULATION AND METHODS

The single-center, retrospective cohort was conducted in preterm infants (GA \leq 37 weeks) admitted between 2015 and 2018. This study was approved by the institution's ethics committee board.

This NICU is a university-affiliated, tertiary, 34-bed center; neonatal care is provided with the supervision of board-certified neonatologists and nursing resource has a 1:4-1:5 nurse-to-patient ratio. Annual admission rates are 850-1100 newborns per year and preterms constitute 35 %-50 % of the admissions. The patient population consists of neonates with GA \geq 23 weeks, major congenital anomalies and those who require surgical interventions (cardiac, neurosurgical, abdominal). Multidisciplinary approach (pediatric subspecialties and surgical consultants) has led this NICU to become one of the highest referral centers; approximately half of the admissions consist of postnatal-transfers.

Patient data was extracted from hospital database and screened for ICD-codes 'prematurity' and 'NEC'. Exclusion criteria were intestinal anomalies (e.g. meconium peritonitis, volvulus, atresia) and spontaneous intestinal perforations confirmed by laparotomy.¹¹ Patients were divided into two main groups as, infants with NEC (defined by modified Bell's criteria)⁸ and control group (infants without

FIGURE 1. Schematic diagram of the study population



GI: gastrointestinal, NEC: necrotizing enterocolitis.

intestinal problems). The subcategorizations of NEC patients were based on (i) *disease severity* (suspected-NEC: grade-1 NEC, advanced-NEC: grade 2-3 NEC) and (ii) *intestinal perforation confirmed by X-ray and surgery* (non-perforations versus intestinal perforations).

We have investigated the perinatal characteristics, clinical features and laboratory outcome. Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), invasive mechanical ventilation (MV) and noninvasive respiratory support (nasal-CPAP), hemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus (echocardiographically-confirmed PDA requiring ibuprofen and /or surgery), congenital heart disease conditions (CHD) other than PDA, intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) and sepsis (defined by Vermont Oxford criteria)¹² was collected from the medical files. Complete blood cell count (CBC), C-reactive protein (CRP) and blood gas analysis obtained at the day of initial symptoms were evaluated for early signs of abnormalities.

Enteral nutrition was delivered to all neonates according to the institutional nutrition protocol (see *Appendix* for the protocol based on Turkish Neonatal Society guideline).¹³ Nutritional variables including timing of first enteral feeding, use of preterm formula, age onset of first intestinal symptoms, duration of fasting and parenteral nutritions were recorded. We have to mention Turkish laws do not govern the use of donor milk when the mother's milk is not available; in absence of mother's milk, undiluted preterm formulas are used.

SPSS-23 program was used for descriptive analysis of variables, central tendency and dispersion of quantitative variables. Following normality assumption (Kolmogorov-Smirnov

test), we used Mann Whitney-U or Student-t test to test the difference between two groups; Kruskal Wallis or One-way analysis of variance (followed by posthoc comparisons by Bonferroni or Tamhane test) were performed for analysis within three groups. We used logistic regressions to predict the odds of acquiring NEC and intestinal perforation. Variables were expressed as percentage (%), mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile range) and odds ratios (OR) and 95 % confidence intervals (CI). P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Of 1428 preterm deliveries, 261 (18.28 %) infants were diagnosed with NEC. *Figure 1* presents the flowchart of the study population. Postnatal transfers constituted 32.1 % of suspected-NEC and 43 % of advanced-NEC patients. Many of the perinatal characteristics did not show any significance (*Annexes 1 and 2*). Small for gestational age (SGA) and young maternal age were associated with suspected-NEC ($p = 0.033$, $p = 0.005$). On the other hand, cesarean section (CS) was the frequent mode of birth delivery in the infants with advanced-NEC ($p = 0.001$). Conception with assisted reproductive technology (ART), CS delivery and postnatal-steroids were associated with acquiring NEC (OR: 4.056; 95 % CI: 2.810-5.854; OR: 1.961; 95 % CI: 1.321-2.910 and OR: 6.422; 95 % CI: 4.327-9.530 respectively). Inversely, antenatal steroids were associated with lower rate of advanced-NEC ($p = 0.001$), but the influence was not apparent for mild stage of the disease ($p = 0.157$ for suspected-NEC). Timing of first enteral feeding and hemodynamically significant PDA were the major determinants of predisposing NEC (OR: 6.515,

TABLE 1. Multivariate regression model for acquiring NEC*

	Exp (B)	95 % CI for EXP (B)		p
		Lower limit	Upper limit	
PDA	4.715	2.717	8.183	< 0.001
First enteral feeding	6.515	5.011	8.470	< 0.001
RDS	3.538	2.238	5.594	< 0.001
CS delivery	2.031	1.182	3.490	0.010
Mode of conception - ART	1.434	0.791	2.600	0.235
Low 5-min Apgar score	0.931	0.534	1.624	0.801
Postnatal steroids	0.900	0.454	1.782	0.762

*Acquiring NEC refers to the total sum of patients with suspected and advanced NEC. The model did not include gestational age and birth weight due to significant collinearity. The model's Nagelkerke R²: 0.673, sensitivity: 81.5 % and specificity 97.2 %. Abbreviations: PDA: patent ductus arteriosus, RDS: respiratory distress syndrome, CS delivery: caesarean section, ART: assisted reproductive technology.

95 % CI: 5.011-8.470 and OR: 4.715, 95 % CI: 2.717-8.183; *Table 1*). Infants with advanced-NEC have shown abnormal laboratory diagnostics as significant thrombocytopenia and leukocytosis at the early stages of intestinal symptoms ($p = 0.003$, $p < 0.001$).

Forty-seven (18 %) neonates have developed intestinal perforation. *Annex 3* shows the perinatal characteristics based on intestinal perforations. In the univariate analysis, birthweight less than 750 grams, invasive MV and low 5-minutes Apgar score have presented the highest odds for developing intestinal perforation (OR: 12.010; 95 % CI: 5.760-25.080; OR: 10.851, 95 % CI: 3.267-36.045; OR: 4.827, 95 % CI: 2.439-9.552). Even if the association between first enteral feeding and acquiring-NEC has been shown, the impact of first enteral nutrition was not apparent for developing intestinal perforation ($p = 0.604$). Instead, the age onset of initial intestinal symptoms have shown collinearity with disease severity (suspected-NEC: 13 days, advanced-NEC: 18 days, perforations: 19 days). After adjusted for birthweight, low 5-min Apgar score was identified the single independent risk factor for developing intestinal perforation (OR: 2.748, 95 % CI: 1.100-6.866; *Table 2*).

Overall mortality rate was 9 %. Mortality has increased when the infants have developed severe disease in the form of advanced NEC and intestinal perforations (27.3 %, 48.9 %). In preterm infants, acquiring NEC increased the risk of mortality by 2.192 times (95 % CI: 1.469-3.271). In the event of intestinal perforation, the odds increased to 11.527 (95 % CI: 6.293-21.115).

DISCUSSION

Advances in neonatal care have increased survival in preterm deliveries; however the same situation cannot be valid for gastrointestinal morbidities.^{14,15} The evaluation of 1428 premature

infants have shown, I) 18.3 % of NEC frequency and 8.5 % of progression to severe disease, II) mode of conception and CS delivery were associated with acquiring NEC, III) timing of first enteral feeding and hemodynamically significant PDA were identified as risk factors for the disease; IV) intestinal perforations have mainly occurred at birthweights < 750 grams; V) low 5-min Apgar score was the single independent predictor for intestinal perforation.

In order to make progress in the management of NEC, we first need to understand the pathophysiology of the disease.³ Intestinal immaturity, microbial dysbiosis, circulatory instability of intestinal tract and ischemia, form the multifactorial basis of NEC.^{2,3,15} Small for gestational age, low Apgar scores, invasive MV, empiric antibiotics, formula nutrition, and PDA are some of clinical features associated with disease progression.^{3,6} Hemodynamically significant PDA and low Apgar score were identified as the leading cause of acquiring NEC and its severe consequences (intestinal perforation) in this study. Both results support the fact that intestinal ischemia and circulatory instability are important aspects of inflammatory pathway in developing NEC and intestinal perforations.

The role of microbial dysbiosis on mucosal injury has also been demonstrated in NEC.^{16,17} In order to achieve a healthy, functioning gut in preterm infant, intestinal maturation requires commensal relationship with natural microbiota. After birth, gastrointestinal tract is colonized with organisms more rapidly in vaginal birth compared to CS delivery. Even if, the role of CS in developing NEC has not been confirmed in studies, there is evidence suggesting that altered intestinal flora might involve in the pathophysiologic mechanism.^{7,16-18} The impact of CS on acquiring disease was only evident

TABLE 2. Multivariate logistic regression model for intestinal perforations

	Exp (B)	95 % CI for EXP (B)		p
		Lower limit	Upper limit	
Low 5-minutes Apgar score	2.748	1.100	6.866	0.031
Hemodynamically-significant PDA	0.471	0.173	1.285	0.142
Intraventricular hemorrhage	0.701	0.286	1.721	0.439
Invasive mechanical ventilation	1.799	0.464	6.969	0.395
Birthweight	0.989	0.985	0.993	<0.001

The models Nagkerkerke R²: 0.618; sensitivity: 79.6 %; specificity: 95.8 %.

in patients with advanced-NEC. This outcome has verified the need for advanced microbiota research to explore the causality of CS delivery and development of NEC.¹⁵ Nevertheless, to prevent this fatal disease, the idea of promoting vaginal birth might be conceived for preterm deliveries considering the pros and cons of the infants' health status. This assumption is especially important for countries such as Turkey, Mexico, Chile and Korea because they ranked the top four countries with the highest CS delivery rates among the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries.¹⁹

NEC is inversely proportional with GA and birthweight.^{6,7} The incidence is 12 % for birthweights 500-750 grams and there is a reciprocal decrement of 3 % for every 250 grams increase at birthweights.²⁰ Of note, we have to mention overall increase in developing NEC for infants less than 1000 grams in the present study. We assumed the discrepancy with the previous reports is due to the explicitly populated postnatal transfers and the nursing resource utilization. Providing multidisciplinary care makes the study center one of the highest referral NICUs and many outborn preterms are postnatally transferred to our unit in the event of clinical deterioration or surgical intervention. For this matter, we believe the numbers would not reflect true NEC incidence. Moreover, being a high-referral center has the disadvantage of over-patient accommodation reaching 100 % or more capacity. Even if neonatal care is provided to new patients in different beds, there is the risk of insufficient nursing-resource utilization, which is a well-known predictor of adverse outcome in premature neonates.^{21,22} Improving nursing provision would help to increase the quality of perinatal care. Thus, the prognosis of low birth-weighted infants would be more promising at NICUs of middle to low-income countries.

Early enteral nutrition with breastmilk is crucial for preterms' growth.¹ It reduces NEC, prevents villous atrophy, stimulates intestinal peristalsis and microbiota.² International consensus is to initiate early trophic nutrition and achieve full enteral nutrition by the end of one to two weeks depending on birthweight.⁴ The duration to reach full enteral feeding has been documented between 10 to 34 days at different studies and regarded as a surrogate marker of gastrointestinal tolerance.^{3,4} However, considerable heterogeneity exists on feeding practices of VLBW neonates.²³ Controversial data

exists on slow or fast advancement in volume of daily intake; recently, 2017 Cochrane database has documented no reduced risk for NEC at slow or fast advancements.²⁴ Our institution's nutrition protocol consists of early trophic nutrition with breastmilk (if absent, undiluted preterm formula) and daily advancements in presence of gastrointestinal tolerance. Unfortunately, absent nutritional data of the postnatal transfers has hampered to examine the influence of daily nutrition intakes (slow or fast volume increase) on acquiring NEC. Instead, we have observed the timing of first enteral feeding on developing NEC. Each day of delays in enteral nutrition had increased odds of acquiring the problem by 6.515 times. However, the similar outcome was not evident for intestinal perforations. The topic regarding this subject is contradictory: two recent studies have concluded irrelevancy between the timing of first nutrition and NEC (or disease severity);^{3,6} while Moss et al. have shown the relation between delayed enteral feeding and impaired gut and NEC development.²⁵ Apparently, delays in enteral nutrition caused by unstable cardiorespiratory dynamics in the first days of life anticipates the future intestinal problems in the neonate; but the pathological process to intestinal perforation is more complex than estimated.

Investigations have tried to create predictive panels to identify advanced-NEC and the necessity of surgical interventions.²⁶ Thrombocytopenia, white blood cell count (WBC) abnormality, elevated CRP and blood glucose levels have been found in intestinal perforations.⁹ The analysis to predict intestinal perforations have pointed out early thrombocytopenia, elevated WBC and metabolic acidosis in the deteriorating process, but it was not clear whether the consequent laboratory alterations have accompanied a concomitant infection (sepsis) or not. Even if the diagnostic tools have failed to predict suspected-NEC, they have appeared to be early warning signs to guide health-care providers in estimating severe NEC consequences.

Mortality incidence was consistent with prior publications reporting between 10 % to 40 % (reaching up to 50 % at surgical NEC).^{3,27} The odds of mortality for total sum of NEC patients were 2.192 and raised in presence of intestinal perforations.

Limitations of the study are the retrospective design and single-center research. The absence

of data on daily nutrition intakes and feeding intervals were other limitations. The diagnostic analysis was also restricted to early stages of initial intestinal symptoms and we did not obtain the subsequent laboratory measures (measuring the predictive performance of laboratory outcome was beyond the scope of this article). Despite the limitations, the strength of this study can be emphasized as the controlled nature and large dataset including 1428 preterm newborns from a highly populated referral NICU. Besides, the study design has consisted of each stage from mild stage to advanced NEC and intestinal perforations.

CONCLUSION

NEC frequency was 18.28 % in the present study. Of them, 18 % have developed intestinal perforation. Hemodynamically significant PDA and delays in first enteral feeding have increased the risks of acquiring NEC and low 5-minutes Apgar score remained to be the single independent predictor of intestinal perforation. ■

REFERENCES

1. Neu J. Necrotizing Enterocolitis: The Future. *Neonatology*. 2020; 10:1-5.
2. Caplan MS. Necrotizing Enterocolitis in Preterm Infants is Related to Enteral Feeding, But the Mechanisms Remain Uncertain and Have Changed Over Time. *Curr Pediatr Rep*. 2014; 2(4):241-7.
3. Knell J, Han SM, Jaksic T, Modi BP. Current Status of Necrotizing Enterocolitis. *Curr Probl Surg*. 2019; 56(1):11-38.
4. Binchy Á, Moore Z, Patton D. Feeding Intervals in Premature Infants ≤ 1750 g: An Integrative Review. *Adv Neonatal Care*. 2018; 18(3):168-78.
5. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Walsh MC, et al. Trends in Care Practices, Morbidity, and Mortality of Extremely Preterm Neonates, 1993-2012. *JAMA*. 2015; 314(10):1039-51.
6. Adams M, Bassler D, Darlow BA, Lui K, et al. Preventive strategies and factors associated with surgically treated necrotizing enterocolitis in extremely preterm infants: an international unit survey linked with retrospective cohort data analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2019; 9(10):e031086.
7. Denning NL, Prince JM. Neonatal intestinal dysbiosis in necrotizing enterocolitis. *Mol Med*. 2018; 24(1):4.
8. Overman RE, Criss CN, Gadepalli SK. Necrotizing enterocolitis in term neonates: A different disease process? *J Pediatr Surg*. 2019; 54(6):1143-6.
9. Yu L, Tian J, Zhao X, Cheng P, et al. Bowel Perforation in Premature Infants with Necrotizing Enterocolitis: Risk Factors and Outcomes. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2016; 2016:6134187.
10. Hull MA, Fisher JG, Gutierrez IM, Jones BA, et al. Mortality and management of surgical necrotizing enterocolitis in very low birth weight neonates: a prospective cohort study. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2014; 218(6):1148-55.
11. Gordon P, Attridge J. Understanding Clinical Literature Relevant to Spontaneous Intestinal Perforations. *Am J Perinatol*. 2009; 26(04):309-16.
12. Vermont Oxford Network. Manual of Operations: Part 2 Data Definitions & Infant Data Forms Vermont Oxford Network Release 23.2. 2019:101. [Accessed on January 17, 2020]. Available at: <https://vtxford.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/articles/360013115393-2019-Manual-of-Operations-Part-2-Release-23-2-PDF>
13. Kültürsay N, Bilgen H, Türkylmaz C. Turkish Neonatal Society guideline on enteral feeding of the preterm infant. *Turk Pediatri Ars*. 2018; 53(1):109-18.
14. Wang AY, Chughtai AA, Lui K, Sullivan EA. Morbidity and mortality among very preterm singletons following fertility treatment in Australia and New Zealand, a population cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017; 17(1):50.
15. Berkhout DJC, Klaassen P, Niemarkt HJ, de Boode WP et al. Risk Factors for Necrotizing Enterocolitis: A Prospective Multicenter Case-Control Study. *Neonatology* 2018; 114(3):277-84.
16. Warner BB, Deych E, Zhou Y, Hall-Moore C, et al. Gut bacteria dysbiosis and necrotizing enterocolitis in very low birthweight infants: a prospective case-control study. *Lancet*. 2016; 387(10031):1928-36.
17. Torrazza RM, Neu J. The Altered Gut Microbiome and Necrotizing Enterocolitis. *Clin Perinatol*. 2013; 40(1):93-108.
18. Hällström M, Eerola E, Vuento R, Janas M, Tammela O. Effects of mode of delivery and necrotizing enterocolitis on the intestinal microflora in preterm infants. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2004; 23(6):463-70.
19. OECD. Health at a Glance 2019: OECD indicators. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2019. [Accessed on January 17, 2020]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1787/4dd50c09-en>
20. Horbar JD, Edwards EM, Greenberg LT, Morrow KA, et al. Variation in Performance of Neonatal Intensive Care Units in the United States. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2017; 171(3):e164396.
21. Beltempo M, Lacroix G, Cabot M, Blais R, Piedboeuf B. Association of nursing overtime, nurse staffing and unit occupancy with medical incidents and outcomes of very preterm infants. *J Perinatol*. 2018; 38(2):175-80.
22. Watson SI, Arulampalam W, Petrou S, Marlow N, et al. The effects of a one-to-one nurse-to-patient ratio on the mortality rate in neonatal intensive care: a retrospective, longitudinal, population-based study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2016; 101(3):F195-200.
23. Bora R, Murthy NB. In resource limited areas complete enteral feed in stable very low birth weight infants (1000-1500 g) started within 24 h of life can improve nutritional outcome. *J Matern Neonatal Med*. 2017; 30(21):2572-7.
24. Oddie SJ, Young L, Mcguire W. Slow advancement of enteral feed volumes to prevent necrotizing enterocolitis in very low birth weight infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017; 8(8):CD001241.
25. Moss RL, Kalish LA, Duggan C, Johnston P, et al. Clinical parameters do not adequately predict outcome in necrotizing enterocolitis: a multi-institutional study. *J Perinatol*. 2008; 28(10):665-74.
26. Tepas JJ 3rd, Leapheart CL, Plumley D, Sharma R, et al. Trajectory of Metabolic Derangement in Infants with Necrotizing Enterocolitis Should Drive Timing and Technique of Surgical Intervention. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2010; 210(5):847-52.
27. Yee WH, Soraisham AS, Shah VS, Aziz K, et al. Incidence and Timing of Presentation of Necrotizing Enterocolitis in Preterm Infants. *Pediatrics*. 2012; 129(2):e298-e304.

ANNEX 1

General demographics of the population

	Overall (n = 1428)	Control (n = 1167)	Acquiring NEC		p	p1 ^α	p2 ^α	p3 ^α
			Suspected NEC (n = 140)	Advanced NEC (n = 121)				
Maternal age (years)*	29 (25 – 34)	30 (25 – 34)	27 (24 – 33.75)	28 (24 – 28)	0.001	0.005	0.058	0.999
Maternal condition	360 (25.2 %)	300 (25.7 %)	29 (20.7 %)	31 (25.6 %)	0.435	0.198	0.983	0.348
GDM	76 (5.3 %)	65 (5.6 %)	5 (3.6 %)	5 (5 %)	0.599	0.321	0.779	0.578
Placental conditions	18 (1.3 %)	14 (1.2 %)	-	4 (3.3 %)	0.212	-	0.081	-
Preeclampsy	219 (15.3 %)	183 (15.7 %)	20 (14.3 %)	16 (13.2 %)	0.725	0.667	0.476	0.804
PROM	70 (4.9 %)	57 (4.9 %)	9 (6.4 %)	4 (3.3 %)	0.508	0.439	0.430	0.248
SGA	39 (2.7 %)	28 (2.4 %)	8 (5.7 %)	3 (2.5 %)	0.076	0.033	0.573	0.195
Multiple gestation								
Twins	194 (13.6 %)	154 (13.2 %)	25 (17.9 %)	15 (12.4 %)	0.291	0.130	0.804	0.222
Triplets	26 (1.8 %)	15 (1.3 %)	2 (1.4 %)	9 (7.4 %)	0.001	0.559	<0.001	0.016
Mode of delivery								
CS delivery	1145 (80.2 %)	916 (78.5 %)	118 (84.3 %)	111 (91.7 %)	0.001	0.111	0.001	0.067
Vaginal birth	283 (19.8 %)	251 (21.5)	22 (15.7 %)	10 (19.8 %)				
Mode of conception								
ART	140 (24.9 %)	80 (6.9 %)	24 (17.1 %)	36 (29.8 %)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.016
Outborn infant	514 (36.0 %)	417 (35.7 %)	45 (32.1 %)	52 (43 %)	0.174	0.401	0.115	0.071
In-utero transfer	117 (8.2 %)	97 (8.3 %)	6 (4.3 %)	14 (11.6 %)	0.095	0.094	0.226	0.027
Gender								
Female, (%)	631 (44.2 %)	512 (43.9 %)	59 (42.1 %)	60 (49.6 %)	0.424	0.697	0.229	0.229
Male, (%)	797 (55.8 %)	655 (56.1 %)	81 (57.9 %)	61 (50.4 %)				
GA, weeks*								
≤ 27 weeks	32 (30 – 34)	32 (32 – 34)	29 (27 – 31)	26 (25 – 27)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
28 – 30 weeks	191 (13.4 %)	59 (5.1 %)	40 (28.6 %)	92 (76 %)				
31 – 33 weeks	215 (15.1 %)	134 (11.5 %)	56 (40 %)	25 (20.7 %)				
34 – 36 weeks	508 (35.6 %)	467 (40 %)	37 (26.4 %)	4 (3.3 %)				
	514 (36 %)	507 (43.4 %)	7 (5 %)	-				
Birth weight, grams*								
	1760	1835	1120	830	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003
	(1274 – 1909.2)	(1620 – 1934)	(975 – 1261)	(680 – 900)				
< 750 g	136 (9.5 %)	76 (6.5 %)	5 (3.6 %)	55 (45.4 %)				
751 – 1000 g	147 (10.3 %)	58 (5 %)	40 (28.6 %)	49 (40.5 %)				
1001 – 1250 g	132 (9.2 %)	82 (7 %)	42 (30 %)	8 (6.6 %)				
1251 – 1500 g	147 (10.3 %)	114 (9.8 %)	28 (20 %)	5 (4.1 %)				
1501 – 2000 g	665 (46.6 %)	636 (54.5 %)	25 (17.9 %)	4 (3.3 %)				
> 2000 g	201 (14.1 %)	201 (17.2 %)	-	-				

*Median,(interquartile range), α: the difference of numerical variables between three groups obtained by Kruskal Wallis and pairwise comparisons by Tamhane test; p1: difference between control and feeding intolerance, p2: difference between control and NEC groups, p3: difference between feeding intolerance - NEC groups.

Abbreviations: NEC: necrotizing enterocolitis, GDM: gestational diabetic mother; PROM: premature rupture of membranes, SGA: small for gestational age; CS delivery: caesarean section; ART: assisted reproductive technology; GA: gestational age, Outborn infant: born at another facility transferred to the study center due to prematurity-related problems.

ANNEX 2

Clinical outcome of the study population

	Overall (n = 1428)	Control (n = 1167)	Acquiring NEC		p	p1 ^a	p2	p3
			Suspected NEC (n = 140)	Advanced NEC (n = 121)				
Antenatal steroid	847 (59.3 %)	714 (61.2 %)	77 (55 %)	56 (46.3 %)	0.004	0.157	0.001	0.160
Postnatal steroid	116 (8.1 %)	54 (4.6 %)	19 (13.6 %)	43 (35.5 %)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
5-min Apgar score	184 (12.9 %)	129 (11.1 %)	15 (10.7 %)	40 (33.1 %)	<0.001	0.903	<0.001	<0.001
Resuscitation at birth	201 (14.1 %)	158 (13.5 %)	21 (15 %)	22 (18.2 %)	0.356	0.635	0.161	0.490
RDS	233 (16.3 %)	99 (8.5 %)	49 (35 %)	85 (70.2 %)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Invasive MV	403 (28.2 %)	236 (20.2 %)	64 (45.7 %)	103 (85.1 %)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Length of MV (days)*	6 (4 – 9)	4.5 (3 – 8)	6 (4 – 8)	9 (7 – 16)	<0.001	0.123	<0.001	<0.001
CPAP therapy	680 (47.6 %)	551 (47.2 %)	57 (40.7 %)	72 (59.5 %)	0.008	0.145	0.010	0.002
Length of CPAP (days)*	6 (3 – 11)	4 (2 – 8)	9 (5 – 12.75)	12.5 (8 – 18)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.999
Sepsis	124 (8.7 %)	62 (5.3 %)	22 (15.7 %)	40 (33.1 %)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001
PDA (%)	154 (10.8 %)	52 (4.5 %)	52 (37.1 %)	50 (41.3 %)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.490
CHD other than PDA	74 (5.2 %)	62 (5.3 %)	3 (2.1 %)	9 (7.4 %)	0.140	0.103	0.330	0.059
IVH	114 (8.0 %)	35 (3.0 %)	22 (15.7 %)	57 (47.1 %)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Nutrition outcome								
First enteral feeding (day)*	1 (1 – 2)	1 (1 – 2)	3 (2 – 3)	3 (2 – 4)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007
Preterm formula	297 (20.8 %)	234 (20.1 %)	32 (22.9 %)	31 (25.6 %)	0.292	0.436	0.149	0.603
Age onset of symptoms (day)*	13 (11 – 16)	-	13 (11 – 14)	18 (16 – 20)	<0.001	-	-	<0.001
Duration of fasting (days)*	8 (5 – 13)	-	6 (5 – 8)	13 (11 – 16)	<0.001	-	-	<0.001
Parenteral nutrition (days)*	16 (11 – 20)	10 (8 – 11)	20 (18 – 25)	32 (27 – 35)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
NICU stay (days)*	16 (9 – 36)	15 (8 – 23)	53 (41 – 66.75)	73 (27 – 97)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002
Mortality	129 (9.0 %)	89 (7.6 %)	7 (5.0 %)	33 (27.3 %)	<0.001	0.260	<0.001	<0.001
Laboratory diagnostics								
Hematocrit (%)	42.3 (34.4 – 50.5)	45.2 (35.4 – 51.1)	44.4 (35.1 – 50.5)	41.2 (32.8 – 47.2)	0.098	0.773	0.121	0.564
WBC (x 10 ⁹ /L)	15.2 (12.6 – 18.3)	14.8 (12.4 – 18.1)	15.8 (13.3 – 18.4)	18.38 (15.1 – 23.3)	<0.001	0.159	<0.001	<0.001
Leukocytosis	211 (14.8 %)	152 (13 %)	13 (9.3 %)	46 (38 %)	< 0.001	0.208	< 0.001	< 0.001
Leukopenia	36 (2.5 %)	30 (2.6 %)	2 (1.4 %)	4 (3.3 %)	0.608	-	-	-
Platelets (x 10 ⁹ /L)	218 (175 – 294.5)	228.5 (171 – 338.5)	218.5 (179 – 275)	198 (151 – 290)	0.115	0.673	0.160	0.531
Thrombocytopenia	37 (2.6 %)	14 (1.2 %)	4 (2.9 %)	19 (15.7 %)	0.001	0.484	0.003	< 0.001
CRP (mg/dL)	1.1 (0.69 – 1.86)	0.96 (0.69 – 1.62)	1.19 (0.75 – 1.97)	1.02 (1.00 – 1.99)	0.310	0.147	0.133	0.980
Metabolic acidosis	122 (8.5 %)	3 (0.3 %) ^a	31 (22.1 %) ^b	88 (72.7 %) ^c	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

*median (interquartile range); statistics for numerical variables obtained by Kruskal Wallis and pairwise comparisons by Tamhane test; p1: control – suspected NEC groups p2: control – advanced NEC groups, p3: suspected NEC – advanced NEC groups.

Abbreviations: NEC: necrotizing enterocolitis; RDS: respiratory distress syndrome; MV: mechanical ventilation; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; PDA: patent ductus arteriosus; CHD: congenital heart disease; IVH: intraventricular hemorrhage; NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; WBC: White blood cell count, leukocytosis: wbc > 20 × 10⁹/L; leukopenia: wbc < 5 × 10⁹/L; thrombocytopenia: platelets < 100 × 10⁹/L; CRP: C-reactive protein; metabolic acidosis (ph < 7.35 and base deficit > - 5).

ANNEX 3

The demographics and clinical outcome of GI perforations

	Acquiring NEC		OR (95 % CI)	P
	Non-perforations (n = 214)	Perforations (n = 47)		
Male gender	119 (55.6 %)	23 (48.9 %)	1.307 (0.695 – 2.460)	0.406
Maternal age, (years)*	28 (24 – 34)	27 (23 – 32)	0.984 (0.935 – 1.036)	0.539
Maternal condition	52 (24.3 %)	8 (17 %)	0.639 (0.281 – 1.454)	0.283
GDM	11 (5.1 %)	-	-	NA
Placental condition	4 (1.9 %)	-	-	NA
Preeclampsy	31 (14.5 %)	5 (10.6 %)	0.703 (0.258 – 1.915)	0.489
PROM	9 (4.2 %)	4 (8.5 %)	2.119 (0.629 – 7.197)	0.189
SGA	10 (4.7 %)	1 (2.1 %)	0.443 (0.55 – 3.551)	0.444
ART	49 (22.9 %)	11 (23.4 %)	1.029 (0.488 – 2.171)	0.379
CS delivery	187 (87.4 %)	42 (89.4 %)	1.213 (0.441 – 3.334)	0.708
In-utero transport	12 (5.6 %)	8 (17 %)	3.453 (1.325 – 9.000)	0.014
Outborn infant	73 (34.1 %)	24 (51.1 %)	2.015 (1.065 – 3.814)	0.029
Multiple gestation				
Twins	33 (15.4 %)	7 (14.9 %)	0.960 (0.396 – 2.325)	0.928
Triplet	6 (2.8 %)	5 (1.6 %)	-	NA
Gestational age, (weeks)	27.96 ± 2.26	25.87 ± 1.99		0.001
≤ 27 weeks	98 (47.7 %)	34 (72.3 %)	2.872 (1.436 – 5.744)	0.003
28 – 30 weeks	68 (31.7 %)	13 (27.7 %)	0.770 (0.383 – 1.550)	0.463
31 – 33 weeks	41 (19.2 %)	-	-	NA
34 – 36 weeks	7 (3.3 %)	-	-	NA
Birth weight (grams)	1021.75 ± 206.06	722.02 ± 139.88		<0.001
< 750 g	34 (15.9 %)	26 (55.3 %)	12.010 (5.760 – 25.080)	<0.001
751 – 1000 g	75 (35.1 %)	14 (29.9 %)	1.031 (0.546 – 1.946)	0.425
1001 – 1250 g	45 (21 %)	5 (10.6 %)	-	0.646
1251 – 1500 g	31 (14.5 %)	2 (4.3 %)	-	0.547
1500 – 2000 g	29 (13.6 %)	-	-	NA
Clinical outcome				
Antenatal steroids	113 (52.8 %)	20 (42.6 %)	0.662 (0.350 – 1.252)	0.203
Postnatal steroids	43 (20.1 %)	19 (40.4 %)	2.699 (1.379 – 5.282)	0.003
Resuscitation	37 (17.3 %)	6 (12.8 %)	0.700 (0.277 – 1.769)	0.449
Low 5–minutes Apgar	33 (15.4 %)	22 (46.8 %)	4.827 (2.439 – 9.552)	<0.001
RDS	97 (45.3 %)	37 (78.7 %)	4.463 (2.111 – 9.435)	0.001
Invasive MV	123 (57.5 %)	44 (93.6 %)	10.851 (3.267 – 36.045)	<0.001
Length of MV (days)*	7 (5 – 10)	11 (7.25 – 22.5)	1.153 (1.086 – 1.224)	<0.001
CPAP therapy	115 (53.7 %)	14 (29.8 %)	0.365 (0.185 – 0.721)	0.003
Length of CPAP (days) *	13 (9 – 18)	21.5 (15.5 – 26.75)	1.114 (1.048 – 1.184)	0.001
Sepsis	43 (20.1 %)	19 (40.4 %)	2.699 (1.379 – 5.282)	0.003
PDA	77 (36 %)	25 (53.2 %)	2.022 (1.069 – 3.824)	0.029
CHD other than PDA (%)	5 (2.3 %)	7 (14.9 %)	-	NA
IVH	56 (26.2 %)	23 (48.9 %)	2.704 (1.414 – 5.169)	0.002
Nutrition				
Timing of first enteral feeding, (day)*	3 (2–4)	3 (2–4)	1.092 (0.784 – 1.520)	0.604
Undiluted preterm formula	48 (22.4 %)	15 (31.9 %)	1.621 (0.811 – 3.240)	0.169
Age onset of GI symptom, (day)*	14 (11 – 16)	19 (17 – 21)	1.479 (1.305 – 1.675)	< 0.001
Duration of fasting, (days)*	10 (7 – 12)	16 (13 – 18)	1.679 (1.439 – 1.958)	< 0.001
Parenteral nutrition, (days)*	16 (14 – 17)	34 (32.5 – 35)	1.230 (1.121 – 1.350)	< 0.001
Diagnostic work-up				
Hematocrit (%) *	44.9 (35.4 – 50.72)	43.9 (35.1 – 50.7)	1.004 (0.971 – 1.038)	0.810
Leukocytosis	34 (15.9 %)	25 (53.2 %)	6.016 (3.047 – 11.877)	< 0.001
Leukopenia	4 (1.9 %)	2 (4.3 %)	2.33 (0.415 – 13.131)	0.295
Platelet counts (x 10 ⁹ /L)	211 (178 – 286)	194 (92 – 290)	0.997 (0.994 – 1.001)	0.086
Thrombocytopenia	10 (4.7 %)	13 (27.7 %)	7.800 (3.169 – 19.200)	< 0.001
CRP (mg/dl)*	0.80 (0.60 – 1.72)	1.19 (0.70 – 2.03)	0.859 (0.671 – 1.099)	0.049
Metabolic acidosis	72 (33.6 %)	47 (100 %)	1.653 (1.429 – 1.911)	< 0.001

-Odds ratios obtained by binary regression if $p < 0.05$; * median (interquartile range);

NA: not applicable due to small number of group population.

-Leukopenia: $wbc < 5 \times 10^9/L$, leukocytosis: $wbc > 20 \times 10^9/L$, thrombocytopenia: platelets $< 100 \times 10^9$.

Abbreviations: GDM: gestational diabetic mother; PROM: premature rupture of membranes, SGA: small for gestational age;

ART: assisted reproductive technology; CS delivery: caesarean sectio; GA: gestational age, RDS: respiratory distress syndrome;

MV: mechanical ventilation; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; PDA: patent ductus arteriosus;

CHD: congenital heart disease; IVH: intraventricular hemorrhage, WBC: White blood cell count, leukocytosis: $wbc > 20 \times 10^9/L$;

leukopenia: $wbc < 5 \times 10^9/L$; thrombocytopenia: platelets $< 100 \times 10^9/L$; CRP: C-reactive protein;

metabolic acidosis ($ph < 7.35$ and base deficit > -5).